

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/437,304	11/09/1999	FRANKLIN E. BOYER	UV-112	7797	
759	90 03/05/2003				
G VICTOR TE		EXAMINER			
FISH AND NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			NGUYEN, QUANG N		
NEW YORK, NY 100201104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141	Ã	
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2/

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	T
Office Action Summary		09/437,304	BOYER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Quang N. Nguyen	2141	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover she t with the	e correspondence addre	'SS
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm	unication.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	January 2003 .	•	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the n , 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16,18-27 and 29-33</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-16,18-27 and 29-33</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.		
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•	
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Ex	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reg	oly to this Office action.		
12) 🔲 🛭	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	· ·		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	· ·		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			plication).
15) 🗌 A	□ The translation of the foreign language pro acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been ro c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 13	eceived. 20 and/or 121.	
Attachment	•			
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Pa	per No. 9

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-5, 7-16, 18-27 and 29-33 are presented for examination. Claims 6, 17 and 28 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 5, 12, 16, 23 and 27 have been amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein et al. (US 6,388,714), herein after referred as Schein, in view of Gerace (US 5,848,396).
- 4. As to claims 1-2, Schein discloses a system and method for providing television schedule information and for allowing a viewer to retrieve, initiate a subscription to, search, select and interact with information located in a remote database, computer network or on-line service, such as a network server on the Internet or World Wide Web comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/437,304

Art Unit: 2141

providing the user with an opportunity to select at least one desired television genre from a plurality of available television genres (Schein, C14: L66 – C15: L63);

providing the user with an opportunity to select at least one desired television-related information source from a plurality of television-related information sources, wherein the opportunity to select the desired television genre is a separate opportunity from the opportunity from the opportunity to select the desired television-related information source (Schein, Fig. 2 and corresponding text, C5: L50-65);

providing a grid of television program listings on the web page including television program times, television channels, and television program titles, wherein the television program listings in the grid are based on the selected television genre and are obtained from the selected source (Schein, Fig. 2 and corresponding text, C5: L50-65).

However, Schein does not explicitly teach the steps of providing the user with an opportunity to select at least one desired non-television-related information source from a plurality of non-television-related information sources for providing schedule information for non-televised events that includes event titles on the same web page.

In the related art, Gerace teaches a system and method for displaying customized agate information with an opportunity for the user to select at least one desired non-television-related information source from a plurality of non-television-related information sources (film and live performance listings) for providing schedule information for non-televised events that includes event titles on the same web page (Media Schedule Page) (Gerace, C10: L9-22, L59-67 and C11: L1-4).

Application/Control Number: 09/437,304

Art Unit: 2141

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the teachings of Schein and Gerace to provide on the same web page a region of schedule information for non-televised events that includes event titles for the user to select because it would allow a user to tailor/customize the retrieval of information to the user's personal needs (profile), thereby decreasing the time necessary for obtaining wanted information while reducing the amount of received, unwanted information.

- 5. Claims 12-13 are corresponding on-line scheduling application system claims of claims 1-2; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 6. Claims 23-24 are corresponding on-line scheduling application system claims of claims 1-2; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 7. Claims 3-5, 8-11, 14-16, 19-22, 25-27 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein, in view of Gerace, and further in view of Bisdikian et al. (US 5,974,406), herein after referred as Bisdikian.
- 8. As to claims 3-4, Schein-Gerace teaches the method as in claim 1, but does not explicitly teach the capability of delivering the television program listings and non-television-related schedule information to the user using at least one delivery scheme

from a plurality of delivery schemes consisting of continuous delivery, e-mail, pager/digital phone reminder, and pager/digital phone notification.

In the related art, Bisdikian teaches a method and apparatus for providing customized notification in response to a search query received from a user who also selected a time and preferred means of notification (Bisdikian, C2: L33-52 and C4: L22-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the teachings of Schein-Gerace and Bisdikian to deliver the schedule information using at least one delivery scheme from a plurality of delivery schemes consisting of continuous delivery, e-mail, pager/digital phone reminder, and pager/digital phone notification because it would allow the user to access the television listings, non-television-related schedule information and notifications by various communication means (whenever special events or new information are available) according to the user preference/profile.

- 9. Claims 5 and 8-11 are corresponding method claims of claims 1-4; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 10. Claims 14-16 and 19-22 are corresponding system claims of claims 3-5 and 8-11; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/437,304

Art Unit: 2141

- 11. Claims 25-27 and 30-33 are corresponding system claims of claims 3-5 and 8-11; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 12. Claims 7, 18 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein-Gerace, in view of Bisdikian, and further in view of Buhrmann et al. (US 5,933,778), herein after referred as Buhrmann.
- 13. As to claim 7, Schein-Gerace-Bisdikian teaches the method as in claim 5, but does not explicitly teach the step of providing the user with an opportunity to setup a date book, and at least one web page containing scheduling information obtained by the scheduling application from the date book.

In the related art, Buhrmann teaches a system and method for updating a telecommunication subscriber profile by entering personal information data comprising schedule data (date book) describing timed events (e.g., meeting times, appointments, etc.) and contact data describing user contacts (e.g., name, address, phone number, etc.) into a personal information manager (PIM); and for providing the subscriber call completion services and message reminder services based on the subscriber profile (Buhrmann, C3: L42-64, C4: L18-27, C6: L43-52, C11: L34-67, C12: L1-17, L55-67, and C13: L1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the teachings of Schein-Gerace-Bisdikian and Buhrmann to provide the user with an opportunity to setup a date

'Application/Control Number: 09/437,304

Art Unit: 2141

book, and at least one web page containing scheduling information obtained by the

Page 7

scheduling application from the date book because it would allow user to receive update

scheduled data whenever special events or new information are available and alert

messages to remind the user about these scheduled events.

14. Claim 18 is a corresponding system claim of claim 7; therefore, it is rejected

under the same rationale.

15. Claim 29 is a corresponding system claim of claim 7; therefore, it is rejected

under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2141

- 16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 12, 16, 23 and 27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

18. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892, which is an attachment to this office action.

\*Application/Control Number: 09/437,304

Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

19. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3)

months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)

305-8190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

primary, Le H. Luu, can be reached at (703) 305-9650. The fax phone numbers for the

organization is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3800/4700.

Quang N. Nguyen

LE HIEN LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER